(71)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 4012 of 2007

PETITIONER : 1) Smt. Laxmi Adhikari W/o Late Shri Visheshwar Kumar Chakravarty, Aged about 41 years, Government Servant posted as Constable, in Police Station Bagsevania, District Bhopal (M.P.)

2) Ku. Poonam Chakravarty D/o Late Shri Visheshwar Kumar Chakravarty, aged about 18 years.

3) Ku. Priyanka Chakravarty D/o Late Shri Visheshwar Kumar Chakravarty, aged about 15 years, minor hence through her m other, Smt. Laxmi Adhikari W/o Late Shri Visheshwar Kumar Chakravarty.

Petitioner No. 2 & 3 R/o Through Smt. Laxmi Adhikari W/o Late Shri Visheshwar Kumar Chakravarty, Aged about 41 years, Government Servant posted as Constable in Police Station Bagsevania, District Bhopal (M.P.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of C.G. Through its Secretary, Home Department, D.K.S. Bhavan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Director General of Police, for the C.G. State, Police Head Quarter, Raipur (C.G.)

3. Inspector General of Police, Bastar Range, (C.G.)

4. Superintendent of Police, District, Narayanpur (C.G.)

5. Station House Incharge, Police Station Chhotedongar District Narayanpur (C.G.)

6. Accountant General of CG. State. Office of the Accountant General. Raipur (C.G.)

(92)

7. Director of the Pension, Kosh & Lekha, Chhattisgarh State Raipur (C.G.)
8. Smt. Purnima Chakravarty W/o Late Shri Visheshwar Kumar Chakravarty, aged about 50 years, R/o Dada Dhaniram Ward, Mahrajpur Near Police Line Mandla (M.P.)
9. Nitin Chakravarty S/o Late Shri Visheshwar Kumar Chakravarty, aged about 22 years, R/o Dada Dhaniram Ward, Mahrajpur Near Police Line Mandla (M.P.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present: None for the petitioners.
Shri S.K.Mishra, Panel Lawyer for the State.

ORDER
(Passed on 22nd day of August, 2007)

1. The matter is called for hearing twice. On both occasions, none appears nor any representation is made on behalf of the petitioners. The petitioners may not be interested in the matter.

2. In view of the above, the matter is dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. Registry is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the petitioners forthwith.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Amit